

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,169	02/08/2005	Kurt Robinson	9335Ь	8612
21905 7590 10/30/2008 CONNORS ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
1600 DOVE ST SUITE 220 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			BEKERMAN, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	- ,		3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/524,169	ROBINSON, KURT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL BEKERMAN	3622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for	or Reply
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, "HelVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION." Issues of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SIX (5) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. For the provision of the communication of the communi
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2008.
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	on of Claims
4)🖂	Claim(s) 37-58 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 49-58 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) 37-48 is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	on Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ☐ All b
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	···
1) 🔼 NOUG	e of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	Notice of Informal Patent Application
6)	Other:

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20081022

Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

Application/Control Number: 10/524.169

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of claims 37-48 in the reply filed on 4/21/2008 is acknowledged. Claims 49-58 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 37-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snel (Snel, Alan. "Arena's Sponsorships Creating Big Revenue". Sun Sentinel. September 24, 1998).

Regarding claims 37, 38, 44, and 48, Snel teaches televising a hockey event (1st page, last paragraph) and using a Zamboni to wipe and smooth a planar playing field, the Zamboni having an advertisement thereon (1st page, 4th paragraph under "Full Text"). Snel does not appear to specify broadcasting the Zambonis. However, Snel does teach broadcasting other advertisements in the arena (1st page, last paragraph). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/524,169

Art Unit: 3622

time the invention was made to specifically broadcast the Zambonis. Snel teaches the purpose of placing advertising on a Zamboni is to advertise to hockey fans (1st page, 3rd-to-last paragraph), and therefore the televising of the Zamboni will help the advertisement reach more fans at home. Snel further teaches the arena as having seats (1st page, 2nd paragraph under "Full Text"). Since the seats are inherently placed nearby in the interest of viewing the hockey game, and the Zamboni cleans the ice where the game is played, spectators in the seats will inherently have a view of the Zambonis.

Regarding claims 39 and 40, Snel teaches National Rental Car, which pays for an advertisement on a Zamboni, as having a 10-year long agreement (1st page, 7th paragraph under "Full Text"). Once the agreement is up, naturally the advertisement could be changed should a new agreement be reached with another advertiser. While Snel teaches the Zambonis as being "dressed up" (1st page, 4th paragraph under "Full Text"), it could be argued that this does not constitute removable advertising, and it could be further argued that Snel does not appear to specify the advertisements as being removable. Since Snel discloses the advertising as running over a limited amount of time, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the advertising removable in case National Rental Car would not wish to renew the contract, and the advertising would have to be removed.

Regarding claims 41 and 45, these claims introduce the specific data content of the advertisement. It could be argued that Snel does not teach such data content.

However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and

Application/Control Number: 10/524,169

Art Unit: 3622

are not functionally involved in the method (or structurally programmed) steps recited. The steps would be performed the same regardless of data content. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of Patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have displayed any type of advertisement. Such data content does not functionally relate to the steps and the subjective interpretation of the data content does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 42 and 46, while Snel teaches a hockey rink, Snel does not appear to specify other events besides hockey. Official notice is taken that events such as basketball, volleyball, roller skate hockey, roller derby, badminton, boxing, and wrestling are all old and well-known sports and entertainment events that were around before the creation of Applicant's invention. Snel teaches cleaning and preparing the field of play using a device with advertisements thereon, and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use this method for any other well-known televised sporting event. This way, like in the method of Snel, downtimes in games may be used to collect more advertising revenue.

Regarding claims 43 and 47, Snel teaches companies being sponsors of the arena (1st page, 5th paragraph under "Full Text"), and therefore being indirect sponsors of the event.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,169 Page 5

Art Unit: 3622

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 39-48 have been considered but are
moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Based on the new grounds of rejection
supplied herein, this action is Non-Final.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following references are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to advertising on cleaning instruments during a sporting event:

"NHL Notes". Tulsa World. February 4, 1995.

"The L.A. Kings and Zamboni Turn to Art Center for Cool Ideas". PR Newswire. October 21, 1999.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL BEKERMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-3256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric W. Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,169 Page 6

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Bekerman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3622